

# The Quest for Educational Equity in Schools in Multicultural Australia

Greg Noble & Megan Watkins

Australia's migration history has produced one of the most ethnically diverse nations in the world, but this has presented challenges for educational equity. The introduction of multiculturalism in the 1970s coincided with an increasing focus on structural inequities in education. In this essay, we examine the context of changing educational policies and programs over the last half century, arguing that there has not been a steady process of reform involving measures redressing various inequalities but a period of policy turbulence. We consider the impact of the competing logics of multiculturalism—incorporation, recognition, civility—upon educational policy and practice to argue that, together with the consequences of neoliberal reforms, the equitable delivery of multiculturalism in schools has proved challenging. We conclude that multicultural education must refocus on the critical capacities that teachers and students alike need to understand the cultural complexities of a globalized world.

Central to Australia's self-image is the idea that it is an egalitarian, democratic, and inclusive society. A core element of that self-image is the popular claim that "Australia is the most egalitarian country in the world." (Lang (en-US)/MCID 9740)

We then explore the responses to ethnic diversity in Australia, with a particular focus on the state of New South Wales (NSW), both in terms of broader policies and

*The Quest for Educational Equity in Schools in Multicultural Australia*



the history of the original inhabitants of the land—who have occupied the continent for sixty thousand years—positions them differently within Australian society. This is reflected in different, if parallel, institutional histories. Now numbering approximately one million, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders represent 3.8 per cent of Australia's population, most of whom live in cities and towns (15 percent live in remote communities).<sup>17</sup> For most of the period since European settlement, policies targeting Indigenous inhabitants were defined by dispossession, eradication, "protection," and assimilation. In 1967, when a national referendum decided that Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples would be counted as part of the Australian population, giving the national government (rather than state governments) the major power to make laws regarding Indigenous Australians, an Office of Aboriginal Affairs was established. In 1972, under Whitlam, the office was extended into a government department. The 1970s were, as with multiculturalism, the decade when policies of assimilation gave way to an emphasis on recognition and cultural maintenance in Indigenous affairs.<sup>18</sup> Since then, policies have been reshaped in terms of questions of recognition, self-determination, land rights, and treaty. The reasons for the failure of the recent referendum to institutionalize in the Constitution an Aboriginal Voice to Parliament are hotly debated, but it reflects at least a lack of agreement over the means to address Indigenous marginalization in Australia.<sup>19</sup> Despite the many differences—and the specificity of the experiences of Aboriginal Australians—Indigenous-affairs and multicultural policies share a degree of policy complexity. Indigenous-affairs policies have also been constantly torn between competing discourses of equity—between the desire to pursue social and economic equality and the path of self-determination. The first implies an emphasis on integration and the second foregrounds the right to inhabit different social worlds.<sup>20</sup>

A common issue in these two domains is the tendency to ignore the cultural complexity that has resulted from the dynamics of globalization, reshaping institutions, relations, and practices.<sup>21</sup> A consequence of this complexity is that Australia is increasingly defined by the phenomenon of superdiversity, which refers not simply to the diversification of sizable ethnoracial populations, but to the dynamic interplay of social factors, such as mixed marriage and cultural intermixing. These processes affect long-time, migrant, and Indigenous Australians alike and challenge the common assumptions about the homogenized nature of ethnically or racially defined communities.<sup>22</sup> These domains are both prone to forms of essentialism that don't correspond to the realities of social life and therefore pose significant challenges for classroom practice and teacher training. It is the task of a critical multiculturalism to unpack and contextualize such essentialisms.<sup>23</sup>

**T**he challenges of managing the consequences of ethnic diversity are central to the goals of schooling, but these goals are not always straightforward. Typically, education is seen as providing a mechanism for greater social

equality, not just through enhancing access but also in improving the outcomes of students from an array of disadvantaged backgrounds. Yet it is also a mechanism of social and economic reproduction, including the reproduction of relations of power, through the unequal distribution of knowledge, skills, opportunities, and qualifications. For some commentators, the goal of equity has been implicit in Australia since the acts of the 1870s that introduced free, compulsory, and secular education, though these changes had more to do with the economic, social, and political imperatives of governing.<sup>24</sup> The same could be said of the introduction of mass public secondary schooling after World War II, even as equity issues became more prominent in educational discourse.<sup>25</sup> This contradiction underlies the ongoing tension in what educational equity means—whether it is about resourcing, outcomes, standards of competence, equality of opportunity, inclusive curriculum, or excellence.<sup>26</sup> These are important practical debates for public education, because disadvantaged students of various orders of disadvantage are disproportionately located in government schools.<sup>27</sup>

This is especially so for students of minority backgrounds. Schools have become a key means of recognizing and including students of all ethnic backgrounds, and yet they do so through limited, problematic means. The ethnic and racial diversity of schools varies enormously, of course.<sup>28</sup> Subsequently, some schools don't always see multiculturalism as a pressing matter for them.<sup>29</sup> Yet the task of equipping students with the knowledge they need to make sense of the cultural complexity of a globalized world should be central to the goals of equity.

systematized during the twentieth century, primarily through the national government's increasing control of taxation and therefore the funding of state budgets.<sup>35</sup> The reformist Whitlam government of the 1970s, crucial to the foundation of modern policies on multiculturalism and other areas of social justice, reframed educational policy in terms of a national quest for equality of opportunity, and saw education as a principal means by which forms of social and economic disadvantage could be ameliorated.<sup>36</sup> This government saw the creation of the Disadvantaged Schools Program (focusing on schools from poorer areas), the development of English as a second language programs, and the establishment of Community Languages Schools.<sup>37</sup> It also introduced programs for girls and for rural and Indigenous education.<sup>38</sup>

But this degree of intervention has caused tensions between national and state governments in terms of the directions of schooling, especially when different political parties control different levels of government. An example of such tension has been the Australian Government's stuttering attempts since 2006 to introduce a national curriculum. This has been embroiled in constant revision as state governments and state teaching organizations have resisted the attempt by a series of Liberal-National Party governments to introduce a conservative curriculum. Caught up in the "culture wars" of recent decades, with competing narratives of the nation's past, identity, and values, its implementation was watered down and uneven across the states.<sup>39</sup> This national curriculum attempted to introduce the idea of intercultural understanding as one of the key skills schools should foster, but was at odds with the prevailing language of multiculturalism. Multiculturalism was also caught between two levels of government in Australia, with departments and policies established in both systems (as was the case with Indigenous affairs), which has consequences for educational policy.

In addition to the conflict between levels of government, there is also tension between the two systems of government and nongovernment schooling. From the early days of the colonies, there existed government institutions for the children of convicts and free settlers, private schools for elites, and Native Institutions for the Indigenous. The increasing systematization of state education systems did not remove these differences. Today, nongovernment components of schooling include highly privileged schools (known as Great Public Schools), Catholic systemic schools (largely for the Catholic working class), and an increasing number of independent schools (made an 9 ( sc 428.32a3DC BT 0.04 Tw 11 0 0 11 72 335.7 Tm [(i7 >>E

es enshrined public funding for private schools, often at the expense of public schools and disadvantaged students.<sup>42</sup> As a consequence, the proportion of students attending government schools has declined significantly since the 1970s, in

verse backgrounds, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders, those with LGBTQIA communities, and students with disabilities.<sup>47</sup>

A key element of multicultural education is the English as an additional language or dialect (EAL/D) programs provided in each state and territory.<sup>48</sup> Support in English language and literacy has long been the mainstay of multicultural education in Australia, a perceived need in terms of educational access and equity in an Anglophone nation.<sup>49</sup> We frame such programs of multicultural education as representative of a logic of incorporation, not in the sense of older notions of assimilation and integration, but as state support for acquiring the requisite skills for participation within Australian schools and society more broadly. Such programs sit alongside an array of others around settlement assistance and transition to school for recent migrants and refugees and their families in public schools.<sup>50</sup>

While the reach and effectiveness of EAL/D support is a matter of some debate, examined more closely in the context of NSW education system, languages other than English receive far less government support. Despite the richness of Australia's linguistic diversity, including hundreds of languages and dialects spoken among the many diasporas together with over two hundred Indigenous languages, language loss is a significant issue.<sup>51</sup> This is a function of various factors. Processes of colonization and dispossession have led to the demise or restricted use of many Indigenous languages, and migrants face various hurdles in relation to their children's maintenance of their mother tongue, often competing with English, which is prioritized above other languages.<sup>52</sup> Languages other than English are generally studied for academic purposes in secondary school, though with increasingly declining numbers, and bilingual education in various migrant languages is something of a rarity in Australian schools, especially within the public system.<sup>53</sup> The diversity of languages in use in Australia makes decisions to introduce language instruction extremely difficult.

As a result, maintenance of mother-tongue languages among migrants generally falls to community-language schools that operate outside school hours, are staffed by community members, and are funded by grants from state governments and migrant communities themselves, with some charging nominal fees. The benefits of mother tongue maintenance are well documented, not only psychological benefits and maintaining familial ties with older generations, including those remaining in the country of origin, but also the educational benefits of proficiency in a student's first language, especially if it involves not only speaking but reading and writing, as these skills provide a strong basis for learning additional languages.<sup>54</sup> Such language maintenance, along with the retention of homeland customs and faith-based practices, is a tenet of multiculturalism, indicative of a logic of recognition. But in terms of practices of multicultural education in schools, there



is often a tension between these differing logics of recognition and incorporation, such as between ensuring

What we term a logic of civility is also related to the imperative of recognition. As is evident from the national curriculum, “recognising culture” is coupled with “developing respect.” Such an ethics toward difference was deemed essential in multicultural policy for embracing not only considerable demographic change from large-scale migration after World War II but for reimagining the nation in light of this, distancing itself from Australia’s white colonial roots. Schools and multicultural education have been pivotal on this topic. While multicultural education was initially focused on policies attuned to a logic of incorporation, such as in equipping the children of migrants with English language skills, it now involves a much wider remit, including programs of intercultural understanding and community harmony with an orientation that is not merely inward-looking in terms of individual school communities, but has a broader perspective that considers such issues on a national and global scale. This broader remit is not always understood by schools, especially those with limited ethnic diversity or, as they are termed in Australia, low language background other than English (LBOTE), the main marker of migrant-derived diversity in Australian schools. For some, multicultural education is still more a matter for schools with a concentration of students with LBOTE despite mandated policies to the contrary. Yet promoting civility is not straightforward. If it does little more than provide a gloss of acceptance of ethnic, racial, and cultural difference predicated on essentialized and stereotypical ideas of ethnic difference, such forms of unreflective civility can mask issues of racism and discrimination.

These may not be perceived as problems within schools but are certainly prevalent within the broader community and are the responsibility of schools and curricula to address. The celebration of Harmony Day is one example. Introduced by the conservative government of Prime Minister John Howard in 1999 on the United Nations International Day for the Elimination of Racial Discrimination, it operates in Australian schools more as a bland celebration of the nation’s diversity and the acceptance of others. Schools encourage students to wear orange to mark the occasion rather than to use it as an opportunity to draw attention to racism and how best to combat it. Such diluted messages filter through to schools-in-policies such as Western Australia’s Shaping the Future Multicultural Plan, which has a single reference to racism while foregrounding celebration in the form of multicultural events in its policy priority of “harmonious and inclusive communities.”<sup>57</sup>

Together with these differing logics of incorporation, recognition, and civility, multicultural education, as with educational and social policy broadly, has been influenced by neoliberal forms of governance. As a result, the quest for equity, driven by an agenda of social justice, has been sidelined in favor of economic rationality. This is evident in the changes to programs of multicultural education in NSW over the last decade or so. NSW is Australia’s oldest and largest state in terms of population and arguably the most culturally diverse. It has responsibility for

over 1.2 million school students (over 30 percent of the nations' 4.1 million students), of which 63 percent are in government schools.<sup>58</sup> In terms of students in its public schools, one in three has an





now a “professional imperative” for teachers to acquire the requisite knowledge and skills “to better respond to cultural and linguistic diversity.”<sup>73</sup> This response, however, must avoid the reductive essentialism that often characterizes practices of multicultural education in schools. Rather, a transformative multicultural education is needed, with teachers and students attuned to the cultural complexities of a globalizing world, effectively navigating the difficult terrain of this rapid diversification.<sup>74</sup>

---

## about the authors

**Greg Noble** is Professor at the Institute for Culture and Society at Western Sydney University, Australia. He has been involved in research in multicultural education for almost forty years, with wider interests in relations between youth, ethnicity, and gender; migration and intercultural relations; and Bourdieusian analysis. He has published eleven books, including *Doing Diversity Differently in a Culturally Complex World: Critical Perspectives on Multicultural Education* (with Megan Watkins, 2021) and *Disposed to Learn: Schooling, Ethnicity and the Scholarly Habitus* (with Megan Watkins, 2013).

**Julianne Schultz** is Adjunct Professor in the School of Education and Fellow of the Institute for Culture and Society at Western Sydney University, Australia. She has extensive experience as a teacher educator in multicultural education, with broader interests related to issues of pedagogy, affect, and embodiment. She is the author of *Doing Diversity Differently in a Culturally Complex World: Critical Perspectives on Multicultural Education* (with Greg Noble, 2021), *Disposed to Learn: Schooling, Ethnicity and the Scholarly Habitus* (with Greg Noble, 2013), and *Discipline and Learn: Bodies, Pedagogy and Writing* (2011).

## endnotes

<sup>1</sup> Julianne Schultz, *The Idea of Australia: A Search for the Soul of the Nation*

- <sup>6</sup> James Jupp, *From White Australia to Woomera: The Story of Australian Immigration* (Cambridge University Press, 2002).
- <sup>7</sup> Fazal Rizvi, *Encountering Education in the Global* (Routledge, 2014).
- <sup>8</sup> Jupp, *From Assimilation to a Multicultural Society, 1972–2006*, in *From White Australia to Woomera*.
- <sup>9</sup> Rod Allan and Bob Hill, *Multicultural Education in Australia: Historical Development and Current Status*, in *The Handbook of Research on Multicultural Education* (Education Banks (Macmillan, 1995), 763–777).
- <sup>10</sup> Chris Inglis, *Multicultural Education in Australia: Two Generations of Evolution*, in *The Routledge International Companion to Multicultural Education* (Education Banks (Routledge, 2009), 109–120).
- <sup>11</sup> Tim Soutphommasane, *The Realities and Rhetoric of Multiculturalism*, *The Australian Human Rights Commission*, October 1, 2013.
- <sup>12</sup> James O'Donnell, *Mapping Social Cohesion: The 2022 Scanlon Foundation Surveys*, in *Monash Institute for the Study of Global Movements* (Monash Foundation Research Institute, 2022).
- <sup>13</sup> Watkins and Noble, *Doing Diversity Differently in a Culturally Complex World*, These logics loosely mirror different understandings of equity—redistribution of resources, recognition, participation, and representation—as outlined in Rob Gilbert, Amanda Keddie, Bob Lingard, et al., *Equity and Education Research, Policy and Practice: A Review*, in *Equity and Education: Exploring New Directions for Equity in Australian Education* (Alan Reid and Louise Reynolds (Australian College of Educators, 2013), 16–51).
- <sup>14</sup> Ghassan Hage, *Against Paranoid Nationalism: In/Out/Border* (Bato Press, 2003), 111; and Ien Ang, *On Not Speaking Chinese: Living between Asia and the West* (Routledge, 2001).
- <sup>15</sup> For different discussions of this relation, see Elizabeth Povinelli, *The Cunning of Recognition: Indigenous Alterities and the Making of Australian Multiculturalism* (Duke University Press, 2002); and Alanna Kamp, Kevin Dunn, Yin Paradies, and Katie Blair, *Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander People's Attitudes Towards Australian Multiculturalism, Cultural Diversity, Race and Racism, 2015–16*, *Australian Aboriginal Studies* (2018): 50–70. At a practical level, there is much overlap and dissimilarity in multicultural and Indigenous education policies, but that is beyond the focus of this essay.
- <sup>16</sup> Kay Anderson and Affrica Taylor, *Exclusionary Politics and the Question of National Belonging: Australian Ethnicities in Multiscalar Focus*, *Ethnicities* 5 (4) (2005): 460–485. The terms *Aboriginal* and *Torres Strait Islander* are often used interchangeably, alongside newer terms such as *First Peoples* and *First Nations*. All terms are, however, contested and used inconsistently in academic and popular domains. See Neroli Colvin, *Rurality, Diversity and Schooling: Multiculturalism in Regional Australia* (Bloomsbury, 2024).
- <sup>17</sup> Australian Bureau of Statistics, *Estimates of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Australians* (Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2022).
- <sup>18</sup> Elizabeth Fells, *The Proliferation of Identity Politics in Australia: An Analysis of Ministerial Portfolios, 1970–2000*, *Australian Journal of Political Science* (2003): 101–117.

*The Quest for Educational Equity in Schools in Multicultural Australia*

- <sup>19</sup> Kirstie Wellauer, Carly Williams, and Bridget Brennan, *Why the Voice Failed*, ABC News, October 14, 2023, <https://www.abc.net.au/news/2023-10-16/why-the-voice-failed/102978962>.
- <sup>20</sup> Jon Altman and Tim Rowse, *Indigenous Affairs*, in *Ideas and Influence: Social Science and Public Policy in Australia*, Peter Saunders and James Walter (University of New South Wales, 2005), 159–177.
- <sup>21</sup> Ulf Hannerz, *Cultural Complexity: Studies in the Social Organization of Meaning* (Columbia University Press, 1992).
- <sup>22</sup> Steve Vertovec, *Toward Post-Multiculturalism? Changing Communities, Conditions and Contexts of Diversity*, *International Social Science Journal*



- <sup>34</sup> Concurrent federalism contrasts with coordinate (Canada) and cooperative (U.S.) models. See Jack Keating and Malgorzata Klatt, Australian Concurrent Federalism and Its Implications for the Gonski Review, *Journal of Education Policy* (2013): 411–426.
- <sup>35</sup> Heffernan, *The History of Education in Australia*.
- <sup>36</sup> Campbell and Proctor, Equality of Opportunity: 1951–1975, in *A History of Australian Schooling* 178–210.
- <sup>37</sup> Pat Thomson, Making Education More Equitable, in *International Studies in Educational Inequality, Theory and Policy*, Richard Teese, Stephen Lamb, Marie Duru-Bellat, and Sue

- <sup>50</sup> Megan Watkins, Greg Noble, and Alex Wong, *It's Complex! Working with Students of Refugee Backgrounds and their Families in Public Schools* (New South Wales Teachers Federation, 2021).
- <sup>51</sup> The number of Indigenous languages currently spoken in Australia varies; different sources report different numbers. See Languages Alive, <https://aiatsis.gov.au/explore/languages-alive> (accessed September 3, 2024).
- <sup>52</sup> Kerry Robinson and Criss Jones-Diaz, *Diversity and Difference in Early Childhood Education: Issues for Theory and Practice* (Croom Helm University Press, 2006).
- <sup>53</sup> Stephanie Clayton, 3 Barriers that Stop Students Choosing to Learn a Language in High School, *The Conversation*, April 26, 2022, <https://theconversation.com/3-barriers-that-stop-students-choosing-to-learn-a-language-in-high-school-178033>.
- <sup>54</sup> Criss Jones-Diaz and Nola Harvey, Other Words, Other Worlds: Bilingual Identities and Literacy, in *Literacies in Childhood: Changing Views, Challenging Practices* (Makin, Criss Jones-Diaz, and Claire McLachlan (Elsevier, 2007), 203–216).
- <sup>55</sup> Brian M. Bullivant, *The Pluralist Dilemma in Education: Six Case Studies* (Allen & Unwin, 1981).
- <sup>56</sup> Megan Watkins and Greg Noble, Reconstituting Teachers' Professional Knowledge: Using Cultural Studies to Rethink Multicultural Education, *Continuum* 36 (5) (2022): 671–684.
- <sup>57</sup> Department of Education, Policy 1: Harmonious and Inclusive Communities, in *Shaping the Future: Multicultural Plan 2021–2025* (Government of Western Australia, 2021), 7, <https://www.education.wa.edu.au/dl/0qrr772>.
- <sup>58</sup> Australian Bureau of Statistics, *Schools*, <https://www.abs.gov.au/statistics/people/education/schools/latest-release> (accessed September 3, 2024).
- <sup>59</sup> New South Wales Department of Education, Culture and Diversity, last modified December 14, 2023, <https://education.nsw.gov.au/teaching-and-learning/curriculum/multicultural-education/culture-and-diversity>.
- <sup>60</sup> Megan Watkins, Garth Lean, and Greg Noble, Multicultural Education: The State of Play from an Australian Perspective, *Race, Ethnicity and Education* 19(1) (2016): 46–66.
- <sup>61</sup> Centre for Education Statistics and Evaluation, *Local Schools, Local Decisions Evaluation* (New South Wales Government, 2020), <https://education.nsw.gov.au/about-us/education-data-and-research/cese/publications/cese-evaluations/local-schools-local-decisions-evaluation>.
- <sup>62</sup> New South Wales Teachers Federation, Federation Council Decision, March 18, 2023.
- <sup>63</sup> *Ibid.*
- <sup>64</sup> New South Wales Teachers Federation, *Valuing the Teaching Profession: An Independent Inquiry* (New South Wales Teachers Federation, 2021).
- <sup>65</sup> Watkins and Noble, *Reconstituting Teachers' Professional Knowledge*.
- <sup>66</sup> Australian Government, *Strong Beginnings: Report of the Teacher Education Expert Panel* (Australian Government, 2023).
- <sup>67</sup> Courtney Cazden and Ellen Leggett, Culturally Responsive Education: A Response to LAU Remedies II, National Conference on Research Implications of the Task Force Report of the U.S. Office of Civil Rights (U.S. Department of Health, Education and

- Welfare, 1976), 1–36; Courtney Cazden and Hugh Mehan, Principles from Sociology and Anthropology: Context, Code, Classroom and Culture, in *Knowledge Base for the Beginning Teacher*. Maynard Reynolds (Pergamon, 1989), 47–57; and Gloria Ladson-Billings, Culturally Relevant Pedagogy 2.0: a.k.a. the Remix, *Harvard Educational Review* (2014): 74–84.
- <sup>68</sup> Django Paris, Culturally Sustaining Pedagogy: A Needed Change in Stance, Terminology, and Practice, *Harvard Educational Review* (2012): 1–21.
- <sup>69</sup> Mardi Schmeichel, Good Teaching? An Examination of Culturally Relevant Pedagogy as an Equity Practice, *Journal of Curriculum Studies* (2012): 211–231.
- <sup>70</sup> Greg Vass, Preparing for Culturally Responsive Schooling: Initial Teacher Educators into the Fray, *Journal of Teacher Education* (2017): 1–12.
- <sup>71</sup> Ann Morrison, Lester-Irabinna Rigney, Robert Hattam, and Abigail Diplock, *Toward an Australian Culturally Responsive Pedagogy: A Narrative Review of the Literature of South Australia*, 2019).
- <sup>72</sup> Watkins and Noble, *Doing Diversity Differently in a Culturally Complex World*.
- <sup>73</sup> Ninetta Santoro and Aileen Kennedy, How is Cultural Diversity Positioned in Teacher Professional Standards? An International Analysis, *Asia-Pacific Journal of Teacher Education*